

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,844	01/13/2006	Eddy Jean Edgard Freyne	JANS-0090	5134	
	7590 04/01/2008 WASHBURN LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRI	E, 12TH FLOOR	MURRAY, JEFFREY H			
2929 ARCH ST PHILADELPH	FREET IA, PA 19104-2891	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1624		
			[
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@woodcock.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/564,844	EDGARD FREYNE ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624				

	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) application (4) appli	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
	od for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, in event, however, will the statutory period reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. aminer Mote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on	lianas with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin two manths	a of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1:13 and 19. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1:4-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 112, 1st paragraph is hereby maintained with respect to the method claims. A "GSK3 mediated disease" is all encompassing of diseases that are yet undiscovered and cannot be claimed. The 112, 2nd paragraph rejection has been maintained as the arguments were not found persuasing.